OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE STATE OF TEXAS

and

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OFFICE OF COLONIA INITIATIVES

COLONIA RESIDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING

11:30 a.m. Tuesday, May 23, 2006

1st Floor Conference Room Hidalgo County Urban County Program 1916 Tesoro Boulevard Pharr, Texas

PRESIDING:

H.S. BUDDY GARCIA, Deputy Secretary of State



PROCEEDINGS

MR. MESA: My name is Mike Mesa. I'm the deputy director for the urban county programs. I know there's a lot of important business that needs to be discussed. I just wanted to formally welcome you to our office and tell you that we really appreciate the work and the input that you put forth in addressing some of these issues with the colonias.

And please know that our office is always here to assist you in any endeavor that you choose to pursue to better the quality of life in these colonias. So again, thank you.

And I apologize that our director's not here today, but she's in commissioner's court right now. But anyway, I hope you have a very productive day, and good luck to you all.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you, Mike. Mike, we'll be in contact with you after the recommendations are developed -- with you and the county clerk.

MR. MESA: Great.

MR. GARCIA: Good morning. My name is Buddy
Garcia. I'm the Deputy Secretary of State of Texas. In
addition to being the administrators of all voting and the
place where all the business and corporate filings are
housed, the Secretary of State's office is also, by

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARCIA: Raul Garcia with the planning

executive order and by the legislature, authorized to be the coordinators of all border commerce and of colonias.

We have a mandate by the legislature to meet with the residents, advisory folks, yourselves, and the Department of Housing and Community Affairs in trying to develop recommendations, which we will in turn deliver to the legislature for their consideration.

So as many of you all know, I'm here with the director of the Department of Housing and Community Affairs for the State's colonia division, as well as flanked by ombudsman of the Secretary of State's office, who will be introducing themselves, I assume. If we could have you all introduce yourselves.

The purpose of today is to try to develop recommendations and make face-to-face contact so that you all will know you we are -- and that the recommendations, in addition to dialog and communication, will be improved.

With that, I will leave -- give the mike over to Homer Cabello.

MR. CABELLO: Gracias. Thank you. [speaking Spanish.]

MR. CABELLO: Okay. Mr. Jones.

MR. CABELLO: Starr County.

MR. JONES: Dewitt Jones, Starr County.

| 1 | department, Cameron County. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KEITH: Richard Keith with Community |
| 3 | Development Corporation of Brownsville. |
| 4 | MS. VEGA: Paolina Vega, the subdivision |
| 5 | coordinator for Cameron County. |
| 6 | MS. VILLARREAL: Anna Villarreal, the self-help |
| 7 | coordinator for Starr County. |
| 8 | MS. BEAS: Elisa Beas, director [inaudible] for |
| 9 | Starr County. |
| 10 | MR. MONTALVO: Gonzalo Montalvo, self-help |
| 11 | center, Starr County. |
| 12 | MS. JUAREZ: Blanca Juarez for the Secretary of |
| 13 | State office in Starr County. |
| 14 | MS. CABALLERO: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 15 | MS. GARCIA: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 16 | MR. LONGORIA: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 17 | MR. SAUCEDA: Enrique Sauceda, [speaking |
| 18 | Spanish]. |
| 19 | MS. SAUCEDA: Perla Sauceda, [speaking |
| 20 | Spanish]. |
| 21 | MS. SANCHEZ: Leticia Sanchez, [speaking |
| 22 | Spanish]. |
| 23 | MR. SANCHEZ: Julio Sanchez, [speaking |
| 24 | Spanish]. |
| 25 | MS. MEDINA: [speaking Spanish]. |
| | |

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

| 1 | MS. MORENO: Yolanda Moreno, [speaking |
|----|--|
| 2 | Spanish]. |
| 3 | FEMALE VOICE: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 4 | MS. GRIMALDO: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 5 | FEMALE VOICE: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 6 | MR. GOMEZ: Good morning. I'm Roy Gomez, USDA |
| 7 | rural development. |
| 8 | MR. SOLANO: Jorge Solano, [speaking Spanish]. |
| 9 | MR. ZUNIGA: Eduardo Zuniga, [speaking |
| 10 | Spanish]. |
| 11 | MS. RODRIGUEZ: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 12 | MR. CABELLO: Are you going to let him through |
| 13 | the [speaking Spanish]. |
| 14 | MR. ARIZMENDI: David Arizmendi, [speaking |
| 15 | Spanish]. |
| 16 | MALE VOICE: [inaudible], colonia coordinator |
| 17 | for [inaudible]. |
| 18 | MS. AYALA: Yesenia Ayala, and I'm with the |
| 19 | Office of Colonia Initiatives. Just a little note: |
| 20 | [speaking Spanish]. |
| 21 | MR. CABELLO: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 22 | MR. GARZA: David Garza. I'm with Proyecto |
| 23 | Azteca. |
| 24 | MR. CABELLO: Webb County. |
| 25 | Come on in, Paul. Please introduce yourselves. |
| 1 | 1 |

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. Paul Martinez, Webb 1 County self-help center director. 2 MS. ALLRED: Cassie Allred, Webb County self-3 4 help center. MS. SOLANO: Carlota Solano. 5 6 (Pause.) 7 MR. CABELLO: Are you all going to want to also make some comments from the self-help center or the 8 9 county? You're more than welcome to make comments as to what the needs and the concerns that we're facing in 10 11 the colonias -- we can take into consideration when the 12 report is being written and so it can be submitted on 13 Buddy's behalf. 14 (Several speaking at once.) 15 MR. SAUCEDO: [speaking Spanish.] 16 MR. GARCIA: One idea that may have reached its 17 time in regard to your comments on transportation -- I've heard ideas of the Rio Grande Valley considering a public 18 19 transportation system, maybe even a public train of some 20 sort. 21 The old train lines that run along, you know, 22 military highway, the old highway 83, could be converted 23 if there was a public effort. It would take a couple of 24 county -- multicounty area.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

But it may be a possibility, because your point

25

1 is well taken. The Senators Lucio and Hinojosa have 2 talked about this issue for awhile, where there's no --3 there are no buses. There's no -- maybe some type of 4 public transportation has reached its time. 5 But I agree with you. I think that's the 6 number-one issue. 7 [speaking Spanish.] 8 But your points are well taken. And I think 9 that's a good way to start this, because that should be 10 the beginning of our recommendations. 11 MR. CABELLO: Gracias, Enrique. 12 MS. AYALA: We have some new people here. 13 MR. CABELLO: We have new people. We're introducing ourselves. 14 15 MS. PEREZ: Liliana Perez, [speaking Spanish]. 16 MR. CABELLO: Leticia Sanchez. 17 MS. SANCHEZ: [speaking Spanish]. 18 [Discussion in Spanish between Mr. Cabello and 19 Ms. Sanchezl. 20 MR. SANCHEZ: [speaking Spanish]. 21 (Several people speaking at once.) 22 MR. SOLANO: [speaking Spanish]. 23 [Discussion between Mr. Cabello and Mr. Solano in Spanish]. 24 25 MR. GARCIA: Garbage collection falls under the county. Right?

MR. CABELLO: Well, it's a solid waste pickup.

And, well, it can fall under the counties, but the cities — that's not within the city's ETJ or city

limits to then go out and — what we do in Webb County — we buy — they rent containers, and we strategically put them in the colonias so people can go throw the trash there instead of burning them or —

MR. GARCIA: Who puts the containers -- the state?

MR. CABELLO: Well, through our program through the colonia self-help centers on the colonia that they work with.

But that's one way we addressed it. Am I correct, Paul?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

MR. CABELLO: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: And is that something that you would recommend, Paul, for other areas?

MR. MARTINEZ: It's not something you go and keep all the time. We already implemented a weekly trash pickup. You know, the trash men were -- each household. So we do have a pickup.

MR. GARCIA: Do you have prohibitions against burning?

| 1 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. And then the county has |
|----|--|
| 2 | their court enforcers, you know. Now because none |
| 3 | of they have the pickup service, the trash pickup, |
| 4 | weekly. Plus we do cleanup campaigns, you know, once or |
| 5 | twice a year to help pick up, you know, the big stuff. |
| 6 | So there shouldn't be any reason for them to |
| 7 | you know, to start burning trash. |
| 8 | MR. CABELLO: But that is something that the |
| 9 | self-help center contract with the private company, or |
| 10 | is it run by the county on the government's pickup? |
| 11 | MR. MARTINEZ: The counties do it now. |
| 12 | MR. CABELLO: The county's doing it. |
| 13 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, the counties. Yes. |
| 14 | MR. CABELLO: So the county. |
| 15 | MR. MARTINEZ: And when we started, we were |
| 16 | going to buy the trash bins for them, you know, and then |
| 17 | the county would put in going every week and picking up |
| 18 | the trash and so forth. |
| 19 | MR. CABELLO: What kind of rates are we looking |
| 20 | at for colonia residents? |
| 21 | MR. MARTINEZ: I don't know if they're being |
| 22 | charged yet for it or not. |
| 23 | MR. CABELLO: Okay. No idea? |
| 24 | MR. MARTINEZ: No. |
| 25 | MR. GARCIA: Could you get me that, just |

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

| 1 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. |
|--|---|
| 2 | MR. GARCIA: so we can model that? If |
| 3 | MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. |
| 4 | MR. GARCIA: anything, that sounds like a |
| 5 | good idea. |
| 6 | MR. CABELLO: Just kind of put it with all the |
| 7 | other research that we do, and we can talk about it. |
| 8 | MR. GARCIA: And if we recommend that to the |
| 9 | legislature, we need to make sure that whatever the |
| 10 | situation is at Webb County, if we can recreate that |
| 11 | elsewhere. |
| 12 | MR. CABELLO: Could you do us a little writeup, |
| 13 | Paul? |
| | |
| 14 | MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. |
| 14 15 | MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. MR. GARCIA: Thank you. |
| | |
| 15 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. |
| 15 16 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the |
| 15 16 17 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. |
| 15 16 17 18 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. Yes, ma'am. |
| 15 16 17 18 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. Yes, ma'am. MS. AYALA: I'm sorry. I would like to |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. Yes, ma'am. MS. AYALA: I'm sorry. I would like to appreciate today Ms. Blanca Villalpando, from Senator |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. Yes, ma'am. MS. AYALA: I'm sorry. I would like to appreciate today Ms. Blanca Villalpando, from Senator Lucio's office. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GARCIA: Thank you. MR. CABELLO: All right. David, you're the next one on the list. Yes, ma'am. MS. AYALA: I'm sorry. I would like to appreciate today Ms. Blanca Villalpando, from Senator Lucio's office. MS. VILLALPANDO: Good morning. |

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. CABELLO: No. Okay. 1 MS. VILLALPANDO: I'm here to observe, just 2 3 like you guys are. MR. CABELLO: Okay. Thank you. 4 David, welcome. 5 MR. ARIZMENDI: Thank you. David Arizmendi. 6 I'm the executive director of Azteca. 7 First of all, let me thank the Secretary of 8 State's office and TDHCA for holding this hearing. I 9 think we need more and more of these, because as you can 10 see reflected in the testimony so far, you are getting a 11 wealth of information about what are some of the real 12 issues confronting the communities. So I commend you for 13 having these hearings. And hopefully, we'll have them 14 15 more often. I would also recommend that you have a --16 either a translation system here so those that speak only 17 English have the capacity to be able to be understood by 18 those that only speak Spanish to ensure that the 19 20 information flows both ways. And I think that's critical. It is especially 21 22 23

important as it relates to the record, because if this -establishing a record as to the issues, we need to ensure that that information is captured.

24

25

I will begin by basically trying to put the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

current situation into context, because I think it reveals the importance of some of the issues that are being confronted.

It was about a week ago that we had some terrible winds here. And we can always tell when something bad happens, because we get inundated with applications. For example, in Pueblo de Palmas -- because I think because of its location, whether it's winds or whether it's tornadoes, they'll always get hit.

So we have right now a whole number of applications where trailers are just totally demolished. So one of the recommendations that I would recommend to you is that we need an emergency system of being able to respond to these disaster kind of scenarios that occur in colonias, mainly because the structures that are there —doesn't take much to wipe them out.

But also that most of the new colonias now — most people are buying these dilapidated trailers in the hopes that they will soon build. And most of them are catching either on fire or, when there are small winds, they totally get destroyed, because they're not in any shape to be able to withstand that.

So I would recommend that you explore that possibility. How do we respond to these emergency situations? Because when we look at housing, it's more of

a long-term strategy rather than a short-term strategy.

The second issue I want to talk to you today -is about revisiting this thing called Senate Bill 1001
that we passed back in 1995. As you recall, that was
supposedly the solution to the colonia problem. And that
was the basic idea where we saw the developer as being the
bad guy. And we thought that if we passed this
legislation, that in fact, the colonia issue would be
resolved.

And specifically, the Senate Bill 1001 essentially said they would make it illegal for any developer to sell land that was undeveloped, that was unplotted, that it had to have the infrastructure. It had to have streets. It had to have septic tank. It had to have electricity.

And I think the bill did some good, but it didn't solve the affordable-housing problem. And I think that there's this misconception that Senate Bill 1001 somehow resolved the colonia issue. Now --

MR. CABELLO: Which is to say it addressed the issue of the colonias, but it didn't address the reason why they were created in the first place --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. CABELLO: -- is what you're saying. Okay.

MR. ARIZMENDI: It addressed the

infrastructural issue, but there were some repercussions to that. Your average lot right now is 17 to \$20,000.

Naturally, if you're a developer and you're having to do half-acre lots with paved streets, with water and electricity, it's not going to cost you \$5,000. The cost is passed on to the buyer.

And so if you look at the issue of affordability, although you have lot now that has the infrastructure, it is now more expensive. So you're forcing families to -- two or three families to buy a lot instead of one.

You have a very high foreclosure rate, because these are being done at 14 to 18 percent interest rates, because that's -- they're still financed by the developer. So most of the payments that are being made are going to interest.

So -- and then there's no factor in terms of affordability. In other words, they don't look at your income-to-debt ratio in determining whether you qualify for this. They basically said, you know, I mean, the land becomes the collateral. And so there's no risk as far as the developer's concerned, because they just pick up the land again, and they resell it.

So there's -- you know, so there's a number of people who really cannot afford that payment, but in fact

have a way to get in to buy land. But they can't sustain it in the long haul.

So my recommendation with respect to that issue of the lot is that you have contract-for-deed funds available. That -- the developers aren't stupid. They have figured a way around it. And now they have -- they --

There's not a lot of that left, but there is a need to refinance these lots in order for people to be able to afford -- as Jorge reflected, if he could just make the same payment he's making now, but that would include some kind of a house -- would make a difference.

Because the 14 to 18 percent is just making it difficult. The reason most people are buying little trailers is because they're waiting to build, because they can't build right now given that lot payment, which is very challenging to sustain.

So I would say if somehow you can reshift that contract-for-deed money into -- making it available for refinancing and trying to get people out of those situations where they can -- so they can build.

MR. CABELLO: Can I add something to that?

Last session -- we have a rider to our appropriations bill where we have to do contract-to-deed conversions. In that session, we were trying to include a refinance proponent.

Because what's happening -- the developers don't want to get paid off -- and they've told me -- because they can't -- when they get paid off, they can't invest that money into today's market and get 14, 16, 18 percent return.

So what the developers are doing -- they're converting them themselves to get away from the state program. And so --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right. I mean, they're not subject to contract-for-deed legislation, because they've reshifted the paperwork. But the --

MR. GARCIA: And if I could go back a little bit further. You brought up house -- Senate Bill 571 from 1995. I was on Senator Lucio's staff at the time. I was part of the people that helped put that together. And I can tell you, you're right.

The point was never -- it was to end unscrupulous development. It was not, as -- your point is well taken -- to find a better way to make housing more affordable. It was to prevent colonias from proliferating, from developing.

The -- it was understood even back then though that if you set up these certain regulations, it's going to make housing even harder to obtain. And if you're low income, you still have to live somewhere. Just because

you raise the standards or you have a standard now that says no colonias are developed -- where do those people go?

So your point is -- since '95, it was understood that what the legislature was trying to do was stop the development of colonias. And the only place they could hit were the developers. And that's where that was aimed.

But your -- but where we go from here and how we make housing more affordable -- I think that is not only a colonia issue -- a statewide issue that, quite frankly, government does not really tackle.

They have never tried to, in my estimation -with the exception of -- because of what colonia money's
available to help the health risks or to help bring
water/wastewater -- address the bigger picture of how do
we solve affordable housing.

In fact, the federal government has been -probably been the main lead on that. And I don't mean to
be speaking out of turn on what you all are doing, but I
think that your point is very real, that -- but I don't
think government has really tried to tackle that. You
know, how do we get them real housing that can afford it.

And maybe it's a combination of the goal of trying to work with developers, but maybe we need to

figure out a way to have the developers be a part of the solution, because they feel just as much like the build-it or bond-it program doesn't work for them.

So the legislature created this. They knew this was going to be a part of the problem. And I do not know -- and maybe we can get some recommendations for them out of this forum.

But I don't know if the legislature has any intention of trying to help the bigger -- the more serious problem of low-income housing and safe housing statewide. That's not only just the colonia; it's statewide. I don't think anyone's ever addressed here, so maybe you can add to that, Homer.

MR. CABELLO: Well, I mean, there are a lot of things that go through my mind. But in reference to housing, the majority of our -- 99 percent of our funds are from the federal government -- or federally authorized to issue bonds and things of that nature.

Now, what the general revenue -- there's so many competing issues out there, but our agency gets like \$3 million which we use up through the Office of Colonia Initiatives. Getting more housing trust fund dollars -- but then you have to compete with all the other issues that are out there and then try to leverage with the private sector.

Maybe we don't do a hundred percent financing.

Maybe we do where the families can pay x. Maybe we can

put this amount of -- zero percent interest and marry it

with the private sector so you can stretch those dollars

out. I mean, there's a lot of models out there. And I

think you do that already with your programs.

One thing that David didn't talk about when he introduced himself: They manage our colonia self-help center program, but they also manage ten, 12 other programs.

And one of the other programs that they just recently got back into is our Texas Boot Strap Program, which is funded with the general revenue fund. And that is one of the core things that he does is self-help construction.

And I don't know if you want to talk about that a little bit, David, just so he'll know the price of homes that you're building, so he can get a --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Sure.

MR. CABELLO: -- better idea and --

MR. ARIZMENDI: I think that the modus of Proyecta Azteca is really at the core of the solution, which is really to leverage the assets within the community. And that's really the people's willingness to build their own homes.

Proyecto Azteca is founded under the belief that the people themselves are already building their homes, and so they have that determination. And so what we do is we pool what we call a ganda [phonetic] of six to ten families working together to build ten homes.

Now, we build them offsite, for the main reason that most people are living on their lot, and we would have to make them homeless. In the case where we purchase lots, we do build brick homes.

And what we discovered is the whole issue of self-help is more than about reducing cost. It's about neighbors working together, helping each other. And through that process, they begin to understand what community's all about and how one affects community and community affects you.

And as you'll be seeing, some of the committee members that are here -- we are following up the issue of housing with forming what we call community associations, which is neighborhood associations.

Because if housing is the foundation from which to build the future, then we got to provide those linkages. They are connected to health, to transportation, to all of the other elements that we call living that are -- extend beyond housing, the issue of education and the children, the issue of parks and

recreation.

So if you look at the [Spanish] that we have built -- we have one in Southside, and we now are organizing another one in Pueblo de Palmas -- is -- the idea is that you have learned to work together in housing. Now you can continue working on other factors that are affecting your community.

Because what we discovered is providing housing gives you the base, but unless you have those linkages, that's where you're going to get stuck. And so we have decided to take the next step, which is to build that infrastructure that is essential for them to build to move forward.

And I think that's one of the things that we have to do here. As you can see -- that the people come here to testify before me -- they understand their issues, and they understand the solution. And they're trying to say, How do we create a partnership with you?

Because I think that the greatest resource we have is the people themselves. But we have to involve them in such a way that they become partners. We have to stop this delivery system where we are the providers and they are the clients.

We have to change the scenario, because we will never have enough resources. We got to figure how do we

do the things that they are having difficult -- do -- but 1 2 not to do the things that they can do for themselves. And that's really the -- where the -- where we have to go on 3 finding the solutions. 4 5 If people are already building their homes, then what is the mechanism that we can provide, whether 6 it's the labor end of the self-help center or whether it's 7 8 financing -- how do we create that infrastructure that already exists -- how do we support it; how do we 9 10 strengthen it? Because I think that that's ultimately where 11 12 the solution lies. And we have to explore those 13 mechanisms, those avenues. Let me go back to the issue of the self-help 14 center. When that legislation was passed, the -- that 15 16 legislation -- I think that was in '97. Right? 17 MR. CABELLO: Senate Bill 1509 in '95. 18 MR. GARCIA: '97. MR. ARIZMENDI: '97. 19 20 MR. GARCIA: No. 1001 was '95. The self-help 21 followed Boot Strap. 22 MR. CABELLO: No. He's right. 23 MR. ARIZMENDI: '97 we did the electricity 24 bill --25 MR. GARCIA: Right. It was --

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. ARIZMENDI: -- and the plotting bill.

MR. GARCIA: Senators Zaffirini and Lucio were the co-sponsors.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Yes. He's right. '97 was the electricity bill and the planning bill. That legislation identified five counties which were clearly identified as the ones having the most colonias. And there was a certain set-aside of money that was supposed to come to those.

Since then, there are other counties who have requested to be part of that. And I am sure, given the nature of the border and the economy, that they're in need just like the other five. But the question I have, and something that we have to look at, is that the pie didn't get any bigger.

You've added two counties. And I'm not saying they don't need it. I'm just saying that the pie didn't get bigger. And as you -- I am sure there are other counties who are going to come forward. And if -- we need to find a way to include them.

But as you begin to diminish the pie in terms of the slice -- where it reaches a point where it undermines the concept of the self-help center, which is to focus and to transform communities -- well, you don't have investment to really make that change. We have to be

fully aware.

You either have to figure out some other mechanism to include them, or you have to go back and say, We need the pie to get bigger, because at some point, we're going to get to the point where we can't really make the impact that we need to make. And that was the whole idea of focusing, on selecting colonias and focusing.

The other issue that I want to recommend to you as it relates to the self-help center is this. That bill was founded under the concept of Senate Bill 1001, which meant that we dealt with the problem of colonias. So that bill limits to the selection of the five colonias, to colonias that existed prior to 1995.

Okay. The reality is that the colonias with the greatest need are the new ones. Pueblo de Palmas -- and if you take Highway 107 towards La Jolla -- you need to drive over there just to see how bad that situation is.

MR. GARCIA: How are new colonias developed? The reason why they were set up before '95 was because it was anticipated after the law passed, there wouldn't be any more colonias developed, at least from a developer standpoint.

MR. ARIZMENDI: But that's what I was talking about earlier. You got lots, but the housing is worse, actually.

MR. CABELLO: I think the issue here -- and I think Senator Lucio's tried this the last several sessions -- was that there's no building-code requirements within the counties. And so while they -- while model -- while the model subdivision rules requires a developer to develop lots with the infrastructure that you talked about --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. CABELLO: But there's no building codes to enforce what type of housing and the construction types and up to what standards. And that's what this gentleman was talking about over here. But my question to that gentleman over there was we need to find a balance where --

MR. GARCIA: Standards aren't outpricing everybody.

MR. CABELLO: Where it makes it unaffordable for the families and --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right. Well, that's a dangerous slope, because what we tend to get is the enforcement and not the resource. And there lies the problem.

Housing is actually worse. And what makes it worse is because the lots are more expensive. It's harder to buy a lot and to begin to built, because most of your

payment has to go towards the lot.

So what you have now -- and I think it is going to later become an environmental problem -- is most people buying these dilapidated trailer as temporary housing until they can build. And these things go up on fire all the time.

MR. CABELLO: Maybe some -- a recommendation -- and I -- I'm just thinking top of my head here -- that manufactured homes cannot be sold unless they meet a certain standard, because --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Well, these are not. These are like -- the winter -- Texan -- come -- and has a little trailer. And he's -- it's not good anymore. And they like sell it, and somebody who has nothing buys it for a thousand dollars or a couple thousand dollars. And it's like that's housing, at least for the short haul. And --

MR. GARCIA: Let me back up a little bit.

MR. ARIZMENDI: -- it's better than being on the street. That's --

MR. GARCIA: First of all, you made some points about the self-help set-aside money. I'm afraid that situation may be getting worse before it gets better, because the legislature -- we don't make these decisions. The legislature, who came up with this, last time -- yes -- added more counties.

And there's a push to make the self-help money available statewide, because people that live elsewhere have seen the success of the program that passed and said, We have poor people; we have colonias; I want something like that.

The problem -- you're right -- is that there's no more money, and so the slice that you get is less. The legislature's very aware of that. In fact, the legislators who passed this, I think, are most aware. Yet now I think Nueces County's now added as a county that's eligible.

And I told Senator Hinojosa -- I said, Look, if you don't add money or legislation that changes the way that's funded, it just comes at the expense of the border. In fact, I think the legislature across the state is saying, Well, why do the colonias get this help, and we have poor people too? We want that.

And then that means there isn't enough money for anybody. What little resource -- but be aware, because that's a situation that is around the corner. And I think it's important that our legislators -- the board legislators are aware of this situation, which we will recommend to them.

But your point is right. I mean, that very little bit of money's coming at the expense of you guys,

of the border. How do we leverage assets and sell the community and do what you're talking about -- of showing how to take the next step, the model subdivision, the Boot Strap Program -- all of this to the next stage?

I'd be happy to help you get there or at least sell the obvious arguments — that you are right to consider the fact that this is a program that is going to keep diminishing as long as the rest of the state decides they want to continue to tap into that. Either that or put more money into it. The legislature's going to have to find more money.

So I'd be happy to suggest that the set-aside is not enough and that the money comes, if you spread it out, at the expense of the border.

But ultimately, the legislature decides that.

And they have, up until now, decided that -- this is what

I hear from the legislators that are not from the border.

Well, why should they get all that when I have poor people in my county too? I want that too.

It's almost, since '95, been a challenge of sorts to fight out these people. And now it's becoming harder and harder. So that's going to be, I think, an obvious recommendation we need to make -- is that at least the legislature be aware of what they're doing, which is taking that money out.

On the building-code requirements lacking and how expensive it is to build a house, I don't know. I think you're right on what the problem is, but I don't see any remedy from the legislature.

What I have seen is the understanding is there, but the price is going to continue to go up if the lot price goes up -- and because of the value of -- someone's living in the trailer is not really considered. Maybe we need to make this point clearer to the legislature, but it seems to me they were aware from the beginning it was going -- more regulations makes the cost go up.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Let me just say that there is a political reality, and we're always faced with it as we look at legislation, because, you know, if you represent Dallas or Houston, you're going to pull for your people. And I think that the political landscape is that the border doesn't have the political strength.

And I think we've had to bargain in the past.

But I think that one important factor that we have to look at is to ensure that we don't create an illusion. And I think to a certain degree we have -- is that -- this idea that the colonia problem has been taken care of.

I think part of creating the idea of the will and the idea that we need to continue investing has to do with making them aware that the problem has not been

resolved and to begin to address those factors and -- bringing reality to them.

Because I think there's this concept. And a lot of it has to do with all the press that happened back then. As you recall, the attorney general sued a bunch of developers. But if you look at the result of those lawsuits, they ended nowhere. It was all PR.

If you look at even when HUD Secretary Cisnero came down and said, We're going to propose a hundred million dollars for the colonias -- and never came -- nobody came to tell the public that that hundred million never came.

So there's this illusion here, this perception that somehow, someway there's this huge investment into colonias and somehow -- like what did you do with all that money, and how come you haven't fixed the problem. The reality is the problem -- neglected for so long that it's not going to happen overnight. It's going to require a long-term strategy.

But let me go -- let me just say --

MR. GARCIA: Wait. Before you go on, I have to answer that.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Sure.

MR. GARCIA: Because I don't hear that. What I hear from -- a lot of times is not that the colonia

problem is handled and it's gone away. I hear more from people who don't think it's a proprietary responsibility of government to solve.

And if we're solving it to some degree or we have addressed it on the border, that's more than other people have received.

I don't get from most of the people that I talk to that all these things are going to the colonia and it should be -- it's done. What I get from people is why are -- why is government paying for that. That's not a government responsibility; it's a personal responsibility.

And the political landscape has changed in that sense from when these things started under the Ann Richards administration to the more conservative ideals that are in charge now. I don't see anything other than the political strength of the border being very individual.

There is not a push from El Paso to

Brownsville, whether it be out of voters or the

legislature, to do these things together. A lot of times

it's in their own areas.

And -- but you're right. What has ultimately happened, I think, is that people have lost interest in the colonias overall. The legislature -- they say, Well, we've already done things for them. Why should we

continue?

And I get -- the resistance I get is from all of the people who say, Why should government get involved? That's not our proprietary responsibility. We have other issues. And so -- because of their constituents, as you mentioned, that don't get the benefit of that.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. GARCIA: So maybe that is a perception that people believe down here, that the -- that because of the press and all -- that people think it's gone away. What I deal with are people who don't think it's their responsibility and that government shouldn't be doing anything, at least at the state level.

So that's the fight you really have is that people who are saying, Enough is enough -- and you can ask -- Senator Lucio even has to tell me that, that he has -- he's worn out his welcome when it comes to coming up with more bills on legislation for colonias, because they say, We don't want to do that.

They want to put money into jobs, or they want put money into job creation and let people handle that sort of thing on their own. So there's a philosophical difference there.

I didn't mean to cut you off. I just wanted to make sure --

MR. ARIZMENDI: No. I --

MR. GARCIA: -- that you knew that, because there is a very real push against what you're saying. But I don't think it's for different reasons. I think a lot of people don't think government should get involved.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Yes. No. I understand.

So on my issue -- on the issue of self-help, the recommendation that I would have for you is this, that the legislation should really reflect reality by allowing the self-help centers to be able to assist any colonia, regardless of when they were built, because by limiting us to the 1995 and before -- is not allowing us to really focus on the greatest need, which is in the new colonias. And a lot of it is really focused not on infrastructure but on housing, which is really the core of the problem.

And I want to finish my comments in trying to also bring an issue -- and I'm going to use the issue of the trash as an example of it. People in the colonias and people -- poor people in general want to be just like everybody else. They don't want to be any better. They just want to have the same chance, the same opportunity.

One of the things that I would caution you -is where we create these novel situation for the poor
rather than figuring out how do we bridge them; how do we
transition them to being like everybody else.

I'll give you an example. The issue of trash is a problem in many of the colonias. One good example is how Colonia Sunius [phonetic] dealt with it in Rio Grande City in Los Lomas, by bringing in the private sector and saying, What will it take for you to come in here to pick up trash?

And the company said, It's not cost effective for me to go in and do ten families or 20 families. It just — it doesn't work. You're going to have to give me at least half of this colonia to make it work. The people that sell went door to door and convinced the people, and they have more than half, and they will bring services.

The problem with doing these bins or these other things is that it's not sustainable. What happens when that funding doesn't exist anymore, or who's going to ensure that the trash -- I know in other towns where the trash isn't put in the bin -- then it's just left there on the side.

In other words, I think we have to create models that are sustainable. And to a certain degree, we have to look at the private market. How do we make them functional within the context?

The cost to this company who said, I'll come in, but I would have to charge you so much, because I'm only expecting so many people -- so the issue there is how

do you leverage the people's willingness to make it work. 1 And so I would say -- not just involving trash 2 but all the other programs -- how do you involve people to 3 the extent that they can make the system function. 4 And last, I want to say that as Secretary of 5 State being in charge of trying to address the issue of 6 7 coordination with colonias -- certainly, in Hidalgo County, you need a lot of work. There is no -- as far as 8 I know, there is very little or no coordination going on. 9 10 We --MR. GARCIA: Coordination of what? 11 MR. ARIZMENDI: Coordination as the issues of 12 colonias and housing. We are involved with a number of 13 organization, and we have not been involved with your 14 office at all. 15 MR. GARCIA: Well, we're not the housing 16 17 department. MR. ARIZMENDI: Housing or otherwise. 18 MR. GARCIA: You've never been involved with 19 20 the ombudsman of Hidalgo County? MR. ARIZMENDI: 21 No. MR. GARCIA: Do you know who they are? 22 Well, I know a lot of 23 MR. ARIZMENDI: Yes. But there's no coordination. So to the extent that 24 you are being in -- being charged with coordination, we --25

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I quess we need to define what exactly that means.

MR. GARCIA: There's a definition in the legislature that created the ombudsman of what we do and who we're supposed to coordinate with. This legislation that brought us here today, Senate Bill 1202, was an effort to try and combine the office of the Secretary of State, which is primarily been water/wastewater -collaboration -- water-development board of the counties with the department of housing.

Because prior to that, it had not been -- prior to this session, it had not been --

MR. ARIZMENDI: So maybe because we're housing, we're not part of that. I don't know.

MR. GARCIA: That's right.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: But it hadn't -- but that's what we're trying to do here today is figure out the best way we can just address colonia issues, coordinate with everything that you need.

> MR. ARIZMENDI: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: And so yes. This is what this is an effort to be. Now, that's news to me though, that in another capacity, that you hadn't -- that you -- if we haven't been satisfactorily coordinating other issues beyond housing, then that's -- I need to know that too.

But that's what this effort is about, because 1 the legislature wanted to see just all the agencies 2 dealing with colonias to work together. 3 MR. ARIZMENDI: One issue that I did forget to 4 mention on the self-help center, I think, is critical. 5 The legislation purposely addressed the issue of political 6 The legislature specifically said that no 7 system. governmental entity was supposed to run the self-help 8 9 centers. However, as I understand, there is some 10 counties who actually are -- who are supposed to be the 11 awarding entity -- are the ones running the program. 12 that, in fact, correct? 13 MR. CABELLO: Well, they do a lot of the 14 administration, but they have nonprofit organizations to 15 carry out the activity. The one I think you're talking 16 about is Starr County. And they do have a county employee 17 that is at the self-help center, but they award --18 MR. ARIZMENDI: But it's not actually doing the 19 20 programs? 21 22

MR. CABELLO: Well, the county then works with the nonprofits to carry out the activities. But it has been a concern. I will be --

MR. ARIZMENDI: Because they have two roles. They're the awarding entity, are they not, under this

23

24

25

1 structure?

1.3

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ CABELLO: Well, we award the county. Right.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. CABELLO: But the other situation that we have -- or the legislation specifically says community-action agencies, housing authorities or nonprofit. That's what the legislation states. And in some instances, the community-action agency is part of the county, so the perception is that the county is running it.

Now, my discussion with these two counties is that I feel that a lot of times the community-action agency may have inside knowledge. And when they release an RFP, are we giving a fair opportunity for our nonprofit to apply?

And that's an ongoing struggle that we deal with. As these contracts expire or are starting to expire, I need to feel comfortable that we're giving all organizations a fair opportunity to apply for those funds.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right. But also, if they're the monitoring agency -- how do you monitor yourself?

MR. CABELLO: Well, they have checks and balances like the county auditor's office and other divisions of county government. And then we review it, the way I've noticed state agency -- that monitors.

But --

2

1

MR. ARIZMENDI: Okav.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARCIA: One thing I'd like to add, going back to your comments about not only the self-help centers -- we're concentrating on colonias since '95 to the present -- or even, for that matter, garbage or housing. What works?

You mentioned political. I think it would be something that we may want to consider, that if these are issues that we know statewide legislators are going to want to address in their own areas, maybe we can come up with concepts that will sell itself, and say, These are ideas that -- long-term garbage is a problem in your area, rural areas, what have you -- that we can sell to the legislature -- the whole -- as good ideas for the whole state, instead of looking at it as the colonias or the border only.

And you embrace -- you say, We have good ideas, and this will work for all of you all, but you all -- the legislature have to pass it. That would -- politically, as you mentioned, when you have strength in numbers, then you have a number of people who have the benefit of signing something or voting for it, because they're going to take something back home with them too.

> MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. GARCIA: And so, granted, it makes it a bigger scale. But there's no reason why some of these concepts addressing the housing, addressing long-term garbage collection in a bigger pool -- way of making cheaper -- why those wouldn't work in every county --MR. ARIZMENDI: Right. MR. GARCIA: -- not just limited to colonias, even though they may have derived from our experience here. That may be an idea that we can sell a little easier to the whole state and say, Well, now why don't you all vote for that? Because it's no longer them voting for something they send to the board that they -- they have problems of their own too. But you raise a good point, because I don't think you're -- the long-term was a big scenario, pig picture there. It was just something to do because they needed to do something.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now that the issue is such that you're saying -- and I believe you -- that the colonias and your colonias are problems that need addressing -- their understanding, anyway, was that there wouldn't be any

new -- the way they pass their law, new colonias created.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. GARCIA: And if that update needs to be

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 made to them, I quarantee you statewide there's -- what I 2 hear, anyway, is that we have these problems all over the 3 state. So our hope is that if we can find a way to 4 5 sell the model to everyone, then there's a very good 6 chance -- of course, the funding is always the issue. But 7 that's going to be the political side, I think, that we'd 8 get help on. 9 Very good stuff there. Very good recommendations. And I --10 11 MR. ARIZMENDI: Thank you very much. 12 MR. GARCIA: -- appreciate the opportunity to 13 show you that we will be involved. 14 MR. ARIZMENDI: Thank you. 15 MR. CABELLO: Thank you, David. 16 MS. AYALA: [speaking Spanish]. 17 MR. GARCIA: One other thing. If we're not --18 if somebody doesn't understand and we need to interpret, 19 we can do that here. I mean, we can do that. I think 20 that's another good point. 21 MR. CABELLO: Right. And I talked to them 22 before the --23 [speaking Spanish]. 24 MS. GRIMALDO: [speaking Spanish]. 25 [Discussion between Mr. Cabello and Ms.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 Grimaldo in Spanish].

MR. CABELLO: She was talking about street lighting, police patrolling.

MR. GARCIA: I mean, it's -- it would be nice.

I mean, those are all issues that we just don't have
resources for at the state level. But it's all real
stuff, I mean, the parks and all that. I don't know.

Do you have anything else to add?

MR. CABELLO: No. I think our last session Representative Gonzalez passed a bill where if we do street improvement, there's a lighting component.

MR. GARCIA: She didn't fund it.

MR. CABELLO: It didn't fund it? So -- okay.

MR. GARCIA: The problem with the lighting was she passed a bill that said that the streetlights have to be included, but that it costs -- they -- there's nobody paying for the electricity of the lights.

MR. CABELLO: Oh, okay.

MR. GARCIA: And so how do you pay for the lights and -- if the primary concern is drainage, you know, something like that. It's really hard to focus on aesthetics when we're dealing with some other issues dealing with health and getting people ambulance and buses and -- piece at a time. And the rest of the state has the same issues.

MS. Grimaldo: [speaking Spanish]. 1 [Discussion between Mr. Cabello and Ms. 2 3 Grimaldo in Spanish]. MR. CABELLO: David Garza. How you doing? 4 5 MR. GARZA: Good. MR. CABELLO: Good. 6 7 MR. GARZA: Good morning. MR. CABELLO: Good afternoon. 8 9 MR. GARZA: Is it afternoon? Good afternoon, for the record. My presentation will cover lot 10 acquisition as it relates to creating affordable-housing 11 opportunities. My comments are mainly directed to TDHCA, 12 as they are the state entity directly involved with 13 housing. And David Arizmendi brought this issue up and 14 addressed it. And perhaps I can add a little more context 15 16 and perspective to this area. Proyecto Azteca -- that lot acquisition is a 17 18 major issue in addressing affordable housing in colonias. In this brief presentation, I will provide background 19 information and describe how it has become more difficult 20 for colonia residents to purchase a lot, and also how 21 purchasing a lot has placed a strain on creating viable 22 affordable-housing opportunities. 23

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Azteca's waiting list have -- colonia residents have their

24

25

Colonia -- approximately 5 percent of Proyecto

lots paid -- have a lot or have their lot at least paid halfway off. We have witnessed an increased number of people who do not have lots or not -- or -- able to purchase a lot.

And I think it's also important to consider the context of the legislation passed in '95, which prohibits developers from selling lots in existing colonias without water and wastewater treatment services. This law, designed to protect the consumers, has also made it more difficult for colonia residents to purchase a lot, as the prices have been passed on to the consumer.

We did an analysis about a year ago on the lots -- of rural lots in the colonias, and we used three sources. We looked at a realtor's multiple-listing database -- with our contacts with individual developers, and also just by reviewing rural lots in the local paper, the Monitor.

And we came up with an average half-acre lot in Hidalgo County at 19,260. And this was a year ago. But this also needs to be considered in the context, as brought up here before today — that the interest rates are approximately 14 percent.

So what happens, in essence, is a purchaser of a lot is paying mostly interest. And I brought an example of a real-estate lien note. This one, particularly, was

for -- principal amount was 13,400. And if you look at a sample amortization schedule, the buyer's paying -- on \$200 payment, the buyer's paying \$156 in interest and \$51 or 25 percent in principal. And also -- so that's an

example of the high interest payment.

MR. GARCIA: Can I give you -- I hate to belabor this point, but a little context. The reason why they passed this law that said there had to be water and wastewater -- it was not -- and they understood it was going to raise the prices.

The push was because of the fear there were going to be waterborne diseases. Our semi-arid area of the border -- that there would be -- if -- without water and wastewater, certain health problems.

That's what -- I know to us here it's a public service or -- should be government providing this, because that's the right thing to do, human interest. But the reason the government got involved was because they wanted to cease people living in areas where they were drinking bad water, where there was diseases coming out -- tuberculosis, a number of other things -- that they were trying to prevent from moving upward.

So the idea was emphasis on water/wastewater being required. And what's happened, I think, in the ten years is now the costs of affording a home have increased

exponentially almost, and maybe we need to address that.

And ultimately, the rationale was not intended, I think, just to get people into housing. It was intended to prevent disease. And it has turned into a bigger thing, the colonias and housing and a whole bunch of -- over the past ten years.

But initially, the reason why the federal government got involved and then the state government got involved was to prevent disease. That's why -- and ultimately, this has elapsed, and now we're ten years later looking back going, Maybe we should have done things differently.

But I just wanted to give you that context, because I think it appears -- more and more I'm hearing that the cost of this '95 legislation is -- actually changed dramatically the amount of money it takes to build a house.

But it was intended to help people be healthier. It wasn't intended to try and make people get easier, cheaper living. And now it's actually made it more expensive, from what you're saying.

Your point's right though. If you're not paying any on the principal, you're never going to pay off the house.

MR. CABELLO: And I think the concept of --

47 it's House Bill 1001. I mean, the -- what the state has 1 invested to bring in water and wastewater is hundreds and 2 hundreds of millions of dollars. And I think by passing 3 these laws, it'll prevent -- from those type of 4 investments -- to invest in other types of activity. 5 I know in Webb County, for many years, they're 6 working on acquiring the right of ways and moving fences 7 back and electrical lines and gas lines and some 8 manufactured homes. And we're just barely getting the 9 water right now. I'm not sure if it's in yet, but I know 10 the pipes were there. 11 So it's -- in hindsight, yes. But I think a 12 lot of it was diseases. Also, with the investment that 13 the state had to put -- and the federal government. I 14 mean, it was in excess of a billion dollars. 15 MR. GARCIA: And probably another billion 16 17 needed --18 MR. CABELLO: Easy. MR. GARCIA: -- for that. 19 20 MR. CABELLO: Yes.

MR. GARCIA: But I just wanted to make sure you knew that that was the context. You're right on, though, and I think -- and most people will know that that's -that is going to be a real challenge.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARZA: Well, and I think, you know, if

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

2 in

__

you're going to look at addressing the lots and the infrastructure, you got to look at the big picture of housing, because that's why people are purchasing lots. So they need to be addressed simultaneously.

Another comment I have is that under the HBA program, the -- it requires purchasing of lots for CHDO eligible activities. And the amount they have set aside was -- is \$10,000. And I think that needs to be looked at, increasing that amount, because it's difficult to implement without a big investment on an organization's part.

So I just wanted to make a comment on that, that that involves also lot prices. And in general, I just -- adding more context, we normally purchase ten to 15 lots per year, mainly using our sources of HAK shop [phonetic] funds and also TDHCA self-help center funds.

And we're building about 70 homes a year, so we have to target primarily those families who have lots or who have a decent amount paid off. And it takes the applicants much longer to get selected into the programs. As a gentleman said earlier, it took him five years.

So in addressing colonia housing, lot acquisition needs to be addressed. And it's become more difficult for colonia residents to purchase due to the increased cost and the interest rate.

And then it's placed an additional strain on creating true affordable housing, which we try to do, given that the families will have a lot payment, a mortgage payment, and also taxes and insurance. Families are paying about twice the amount on their lot, roughly, than what they're paying for their mortgage and their taxes and insurance with us.

So I think my comment is housing is a necessity for colonia residents, and lot acquisition must also be addressed -- and that the state is currently mandated to spend no less than 4 million on contract for deed. And I think in some parts of the state, it's -- I'm not sure whether certain regions have the need for that funding.

And I think my recommendation is to have -consider an alternative program providing lot-acquisition
assistance and also maybe to help those who have purchased
a lot get out of the excessive interest rates, the
interest-rate trap.

I think this would be a very valuable, viable program for the state to help colonia residents meet their housing needs. Thank you.

MR. CABELLO: Thank you, David. Appreciate the comments.

Hortencia Medina.

MS. MEDINA: [speaking Spanish].

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I'll give you an example. The issue of trash is a problem in many of the colonias. One good example is how Colonia Sunius [phonetic] dealt with it in Rio Grande City in Los Lomas, by bringing in the private sector and saying, What will it take for you to come in here to pick up trash?

And the company said, It's not cost effective for me to go in and do ten families or 20 families. It just — it doesn't work. You're going to have to give me at least half of this colonia to make it work. The people that sell went door to door and convinced the people, and they have more than half, and they will bring services.

The problem with doing these bins or these other things is that it's not sustainable. What happens when that funding doesn't exist anymore, or who's going to ensure that the trash -- I know in other towns where the trash isn't put in the bin -- then it's just left there on the side.

In other words, I think we have to create models that are sustainable. And to a certain degree, we have to look at the private market. How do we make them functional within the context?

The cost to this company who said, I'll come in, but I would have to charge you so much, because I'm only expecting so many people -- so the issue there is how

have more input as to how these dollars are to be spent? 1 So that might be a recommendation for us to consider. 2 Mr. Almazan. 3 MR. GARCIA: I don't know how the county judges 4 5 will feel about that, but --MR. CABELLO: Well, it's part of the federal 6 requirement to get public input. And they must publish in 7 the newspaper the public notices, but --8 MR. GARCIA: I'm all for it. I think it makes 9 a lot of sense. 10 MR. CABELLO: Mr. Almazan. 11 [speaking Spanish]. 12 MR. ALMAZAN: 13 [Discussion between Mr. Cabello, Mr. Garcia and Mr. Almazan in Spanish]. 14 MR. CABELLO: Mr. Jones. 15 MR. JONES: Dewitt Jones, self-help center from 16 Starr County. We have several issues that we'd like to 17 18 discuss about. However, I'd like to have Elisa address these issues. 19 20 MR. CABELLO: Elisa. All right. MR. JONES: Elisa. 21 MS. BEAS: [speaking Spanish]. 22 23 MR. CABELLO: I think you're talking about the colonia ID where they identify -- going to -- they 24 25 identify colonias.

MS. BEAS: [speaking Spanish]. 1 MR. CABELLO: So what you're saying --2 MS. BEAS: A reassessment of the study. 3 MR. CABELLO: Reassess it, but create a 4 definition of when it's no longer classified a colonia, so 5 the limited funds can be --6 7 MS. BEAS: Would substandard housing -designated as a colonia? Because you'll never get over 8 9 substandard housing. MR. CABELLO: Okay. 10 MR. GARCIA: No. I don't think substandard 11 housing -- well, I don't know. Can you all address --12 13 these are my ombudsmen over here. MS. BEAS: Oh, I don't know. I know the Starr 14 County ombudsman, Blanca Juarez. Besides being my 15 neighbor -- well, about a few blocks away --16 [speaking Spanish]. 17 MS. JUAREZ: Elisa, I think that what you're 18 talking about is the classification of colonia. And you 19 already -- we had a meeting already to see what we're 20 doing. And I think that's where we're going to identify 21 the colonias -- that they're no longer colonias, because 22 23 they have the infrastructure and also the housing improvements. I think that's what she's talking about, 24

25

but --

MR. GARCIA: A good point, though, that if it's just substandard, even with water and wastewater --

MS. BEAS: We have colonias -- have received previous drainage, sewer, water. Some homes have been rehabilitated. But when does it stop being a colonia? When do you stop --

MS. CABALLERO: When do you stop classifying it as a colonia? I think there's two things that you need to take into consideration -- is first of all, you take into consideration -- that is a lot of the colonia definitions are based on funding.

And so if you start declassifying them and putting them out of that colonia category -- as I remember, the definition of a colonia is basically -- if you look at it in general terms, it's a community. It's a community of residents that live there that have no different needs than other communities.

I think this -- in terms of addressing infrastructure, physical infrastructure and social infrastructure, it may be to the best interest of that colonia to stay identified as a colonia, because that's where your funding is tapped into, because we realize that in terms of physical infrastructure, we would address the roads and the paving and the water and the drainage, but we still know there's housing issues; we know there's

health and human-service issues; there's workplace issues; and there are employment issues.

So if you look at taking them out of the realm or out of -- declassifying them, then I think, in my opinion, it's probably -- the road is probably a lot longer.

I think that -- we're starting to look at long-term and trying to make sure that what is important to some agency -- that the state's classified as a colonia -- may be that they may be tapped into -- they may be eligible to tap into some kind of funding that may address education, that may address health and human-service needs, and not necessarily the physical need of the colonia.

We may get to the point in the future -- not in the near future, but -- where your housing needs and your physical-infrastructure needs may be addressed, but your social needs may still need to be addressed, because those issues are not going to go away by declassifying them.

MR. LONGORIA: That's one of the things that's happening with the new colonias as well, what we call the new colonias, the Pueblo de Palmas that are being established that are relatively new. They do have paved roads. They do have septic tanks. They do have water. They do have electricity.

But yet they're still substandard in the fact that their housing is substandard, and they're removed physically -- there's a physical barrier to the services in the community.

And we've been hamstrung by not being able to provide services to them, because they don't fit the traditional definition of colonia. So the reverse is also the -- is also true -- is when do they stop being a colonia -- but when does a colonia start being a colonia for the new ones?

MR. CABELLO: I've always felt that there's different tiers of colonias. I've always felt that -- I mean, colonias should be classified in different tiers. You have some that don't have the infrastructure, some that need this, some that need the housing, some that need some social stuff.

And I think that we need to identify those — classify them into those tiers, because I think what the legislature — but I think what they want to see is ten years ago we had so many at this tier, but ten years later, we don't have that many at this tier, but this is where we have the most. Maybe we need to start focusing on these types of issues.

MS. CABALLERO: Not only focusing on that,
Homer; excuse me. We're also focusing -- are we adding?

And so if we are adding, we have dealt with the -- you 1 2 know, the implementation of what the [inaudible] rules in 3 subchapter B. 4 But you're correct in saying, you know, do we 5 add these -- which I don't term new colonias; I call them 6 subdivisions, because from our end of it, their focus is 7 on water and wastewater. These services are being

Now, in terms of housing, in terms of everything else, it is a colonia, because you're going to have substandard housing, and you're going to have other needs. But you are correct; I think there does need to be some kind of level identification of where each one is at.

MR. CABELLO: Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provided.

MR. LONGORIA: [speaking Spanish].

MS. BEAS: [speaking Spanish].

MR. JONES: [speaking Spanish].

Get more people involved.

MR. CABELLO: Anything else, Elisa?

MS. BEAS: I don't think so. I think affordable housing is deeper than just construction and a lot. Affordable housing has a lot to do with --

MR. CABELLO: Funding --

MS. BEAS: -- credit managing, savings, what type of job you have, your income, because we just went

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

through that with the down-payment assistance program, and 1 a lot of people were ineligible to -- because of their 2 credit rating, no credit -- that it's low credit. 3 4 MR. CABELLO: Bad credit. MS. BEAS: Bad credit. 5 MR. CABELLO: Right. 6 7 MS. BEAS: Bankruptcy. So it's --8 [speaking Spanish]. 9 MR. CABELLO: Right. MS. BEAS: Gracias. 10 MR. CABELLO: Thank you, Elisa. 11 12 Keith. I mean, Richard. I saw Richard Keith. 13 Backwards. 14 MR. KEITH: That's right. Happens all the 15 time. MR. CABELLO: I'm sorry, Richard. 16 MR. KEITH: I wanted to thank you for having us 17 here today. 18 19 [speaking Spanish]. MR. KEITH: I'm just here to speak in favor of 20 the state's continued support of both the colonias and 21 development of the conditions of the colonias and the --22 23 and housing in the colonias and the state support of affordable housing at the level of the whole state. 24

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

With respect to the question of whether the

25

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

state has a legitimate role or a vested interest in supporting the colonias, in investing money in the colonias, I think it still really does.

It's true that the popularity of the colonias as a hot-button issue has passed, to some extent, from where it was, you know, in the late '80s, early '90s. But our colonia self-help center in Cameron County, for example, focuses -- it has a targeted focus on ten specific colonias.

But we have over a hundred colonias in the county. And sure, those ten colonias have received some assistance, and that assistance has been really beneficial to the conditions that people live in in those colonias.

But what about those other 90 plus colonias, many of which, in our county -- and, you know, this is replicated all up the border, you know, to El Paso, from side to side of the state -- continue to -- many of those colonias continue to have very poor conditions indeed.

The, you know, instances of public health, you know, communicable diseases, food-borne illness, waterborne illness, has been -- I mean, it's been shocking. It's -- you -- all you have to do is just read the literature in the public-health community to see that there are instances of not only tuberculosis, like someone mentioned in Starr County, but also cholera.

1 You know, we have -- we continue to have 2 cholera outbreaks. And, you know -- but, you know, 3 bringing it back to the question of whether we should be, you know, supporting the colonias in particular, not just 4 5 poor areas of the state -- is that outbreaks of cholera 6 don't occur in other areas of the state. 7 The state has a vested interest in continuing 8 to support the colonias --9 MR. GARCIA: And that used to be the selling 10 point for the colonias.

MR. KEITH: It needs to continue to be the selling point.

MR. GARCIA: And as we have kind of morphed into it being an issue of poverty or housing -- that's why the rest of the state is saying, Well, we want in on this.

> MR. KEITH: Right.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARCIA: And I think your point's well taken -- is that if it got back to that -- but a lot of people don't realize that that was the motivating factor originally, that it was to prevent --

MR. KEITH: Right.

MR. GARCIA: -- outbreaks. And that might be a way to sell it again. I don't think the popularity's lacking. I just think there's certain -- as I mentioned earlier, a certain philosophy that government's

continue?

And I get -- the resistance I get is from all of the people who say, Why should government get involved? That's not our proprietary responsibility. We have other issues. And so -- because of their constituents, as you mentioned, that don't get the benefit of that.

MR. ARIZMENDI: Right.

MR. GARCIA: So maybe that is a perception that people believe down here, that the -- that because of the press and all -- that people think it's gone away. What I deal with are people who don't think it's their responsibility and that government shouldn't be doing anything, at least at the state level.

So that's the fight you really have is that people who are saying, Enough is enough -- and you can ask -- Senator Lucio even has to tell me that, that he has -- he's worn out his welcome when it comes to coming up with more bills on legislation for colonias, because they say, We don't want to do that.

They want to put money into jobs, or they want put money into job creation and let people handle that sort of thing on their own. So there's a philosophical difference there.

I didn't mean to cut you off. I just wanted to make sure --

minimum conditions, you know, to protect itself as a body, you know.

MR. GARCIA: But you see what's happened. Last session, for example, there was legislation that passed requiring streetlights to be put in the colonias. Didn't have anything to do with health. People around the state are saying, Why should we put streetlights into a community when no one puts streetlights into any other community in the rest of the state?

That's an aesthetic thing, you know. Granted, there is some very real benefit to having it. But when you start going down that road of folks that need things because we don't have them here, then people on the street are going, We don't have those things either, and is that a government responsibility?

We have -- the people themselves that are passing the bills, that are passing the laws, the legislators, have gotten away from the focus being on the selling point -- being the health side of it, because there's other needs.

The point was well taken, the last speaker -that part of the problem isn't just housing. It's credit
counseling. It's income. It's a number of things. But
that's the problem statewide. And so -- and the state has
never addressed that.

So how do you remedy the fact that the people who are trying to help the colonias themselves, the ones who are passing bills, are passing bills for things that are not health driven.

MR. CABELLO: Or unique to the colonias.

MR. GARCIA: Yes, or unique to them. Then everyone else around the states says, Well, we have those problems too, and no one's paying for it.

MR. CABELLO: I hear those -- I mean, I'm always questioned --

MR. KEITH: Oh, yes.

MR. CABELLO: -- on those issues --

MR. KEITH: And those are legitimate questions for the state to be asking itself.

I just wanted to point out and -- to kind of remind ourselves that although we have been putting some focus on the colonias, you know, for years now, there are many colonias that continue to exist, you know, with populations living in them where they don't have drainage, where they don't have, you know, adequate water/wastewater or electricity.

You know, they're spotty at best -- electricity services -- and that those colonias are going to need to -- in order to protect the -- you know, the rest of us, really, they're going to need development. And as they

continue -- they're going to need, you know, those first steps of basic sanitation.

And then they're going to need increased development in -- with respect to their housing conditions and community conditions as a whole, of course, as do other parts of the state.

There may be some point in the development of a colonia in which it should be considered alongside other poor areas around the state. But the floor, I think, is -- for the lowest-level colonias is lower than it is for the floor of the poor colonia -- or of the poor communities around other parts of the state.

MR. CABELLO: I think one point that Buddy drove home today was there's a lot of issues that the border shares with other parts of the state. And the colonia in the past had been able to distinguish itself from other parts of the state -- that they were facing and other parts of the state weren't facing. Those were the third-world conditions, diseases.

And what's happened the last several years is that additional set-asides or additional programs are being -- are trying to be passed that is not distinguishing itself -- different from other parts of the state. They're common needs, that is, throughout the state.

And I think what I hear Buddy saying -- that if you want to continue those efforts for the colonias, we -- and I say we as in -- we must distinguish ourselves differently as to -- we're having these issues that other parts of the state is not. And therefore, we need to continue that focus.

And I think that is what you're --

MR. GARCIA: Or the point you made, which is it's for the health of the rest of the country, that it's in everyone's best interests that we invest in the colonias first and foremost and do it well. I think that driving factor is no longer, even from the best well-intentioned legislators — no longer the selling point anymore. It is now the fact that we have poor people who need services.

MR. KEITH: Right.

MR. GARCIA: And the rest of the state can very easily say, regardless of your definition of what that floor is, We've got poor people too, and here — up until now, the government has never — that's never been their responsibility. Government doesn't come in because you're — you choose to buy a house in a neighborhood that doesn't have certain conditions. They don't come in to fix it. That's a private decision.

And yet the colonias seem to have a

problem is handled and it's gone away. I hear more from people who don't think it's a proprietary responsibility of government to solve.

And if we're solving it to some degree or we have addressed it on the border, that's more than other people have received.

I don't get from most of the people that I talk to that all these things are going to the colonia and it should be -- it's done. What I get from people is why are -- why is government paying for that. That's not a government responsibility; it's a personal responsibility.

And the political landscape has changed in that sense from when these things started under the Ann Richards administration to the more conservative ideals that are in charge now. I don't see anything other than the political strength of the border being very individual.

There is not a push from El Paso to

Brownsville, whether it be out of voters or the

legislature, to do these things together. A lot of times

it's in their own areas.

And -- but you're right. What has ultimately happened, I think, is that people have lost interest in the colonias overall. The legislature -- they say, Well, we've already done things for them. Why should we

you know, things like the housing trust fund and work to expand the housing trust fund to -- you know, to provide for increased, you know, numbers of families that we can help.

We need to continue to support the state Boot
Strap Program and think creatively about how to retool the
state Boot Strap Program to meet the rising costs of
construction and lot acquisition, as David Garza and David
Arizmendi were mentioning. These are very serious issues
in our work. And from what David Garza, it's also a
serious issue in their work as a nonprofit housing
developer.

Just to continue to keep the focus on improving housing conditions — and that's just along the border.

That's all around the state. There is a place for state—level programs of that kind, and there is also a legitimate place for certain targeted efforts in the colonias themselves as a special entity.

You know, it doesn't have to be one or the other. There are many programs that can thrive on the state level -- and, you know, in an equitable way, to benefit poor -- and -- poor families and families living in difficult housing conditions around the state, so --

MR. CABELLO: Thank you, Richard.

MR. KEITH: Thank you.

| 1 | MR. CABELLO: Appreciate your comments. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KEITH: Thanks very much. |
| 3 | MR. GARCIA: Yes. And remember this when |
| 4 | session comes around. We want to come so you can come |
| 5 | testify before the legislature. |
| 6 | MR. KEITH: Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. CABELLO: We don't have any more |
| 8 | [speaking Spanish]. |
| 9 | MS. SOLANO: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 10 | MR. SOLANO: [speaking Spanish]. |
| 11 | [Ms. Solano and Mr. Solano alternate in |
| 12 | Spanish]. |
| 13 | MR. MARTINEZ: Paul Martinez. One of the |
| 14 | things and we have the same policy that most of all |
| 15 | these colonias have. One of the things that we have |
| 16 | MR. CABELLO: He's with the Webb County self- |
| 17 | help center. |
| 18 | MR. MARTINEZ: are the multiple dwellings. |
| 19 | 53 percent of my occupied lots are multiple dwellings with |
| 20 | two, three to four houses per lot, you know. |
| 21 | MR. GARCIA: What was the percent? |
| 22 | MR. MARTINEZ: 53 percent. So it really cuts |
| 23 | the amount of people that, you know, you can service |
| 24 | MR. CABELLO: Overcrowding conditions is |
| 25 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. |

MR. CABELLO: One of the things with the passage of 1001 that -- with the more developments of colonias -- there's hardly no more vacant lots in the colonias. They've been built on. Is that --

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. They're basically -they're all occupied. They've got a lot of business out
there. One of the biggest things I heard, you know -restrictions, you know, getting court enforcers out there,
because I know -- you know, you have all these people
putting out junkyards in the colonias, you know.

I think, you know -- well, at least Webb County has been, you know, going out there and making them, you know, put these fences -- you know, and cleaning up, some of them. And you have them right next door to a house and so forth. So you have, you know, health issues, you know, snakes, rodents and stuff like that, you know, inside or next door to where kids play.

I know that was one of the issues that we talked about, health issues. That's why we think -- and the multiple dwellings, you know, also contribute to that, because you have four or five families living in little type quarters.

And the houses are dilapidated, you know. But we can't service them. We can't help them or anything at all.

MR. CABELLO: Because you got to move all four of them out and just leave one there.

MR. MARTINEZ: And leave one there, you know.

And some of these lots are big enough to, you know, be able to hold two or three houses there. But since, you know, we're not allowed to at least help one of them, you know, they all, you know -- the houses stay the same, you know.

That's one of the biggest problems that we face, you know, in our colonias. And I know right now that we're bringing in the water. I mean, I think the contract for the water line is going out by the end of the month or the beginning of next month.

I know that's one of the issues -- of how many meters, you know, they're allowed to put per lot. And, you know, right afterwards, I know -- and beneath this -- one of the areas that already has water -- as soon as the water connections were put in and septic tanks were provided, the other houses that were on the property illegally connected to that service.

See, so you're going to have that also, you know, in these areas. As soon as you have the water available there and the sewer services, if you only provided one per household, as soon as you leave, these people are going to just plug into it, you know,

illegally. And you might create problems there again.

So be honest, one of the issues that -- I think that should be addressed somehow -- it needs -- it's going to have to be addressed. And for housing -- for us to -- you know, to be able to at least service one person, you know, in the household -- because most of these people are not people making business as far as renting the houses.

It's theirs sons, their son-in-laws, daughters living on the property. So --

MR. GARCIA: What's the size of the lot that allows multiple dwellings?

MR. CABELLO: Well, it's a different situation, because in the -- in most cities, you have zoning. And in some cities, they'll let you do three single-family units on one lot.

But because the county doesn't have zoning, what happens is the funding -- for example, in our case, with HUD, if we want to help them with our HUD dollars, HUD -- its rules and regs state that it's only one family per lot. And it's difficult for us to assist the colonia residents in the rural areas, because there's multiple units.

And then you got the issue, well, you have multiple houses, but the local rules state there's only one meter per house -- per lot. And that's what he's

talking about. They start hooking in illegally. Then we can't come in with our housing dollars. It's just a Catch-22 all the way around.

MR. GARCIA: Those are federal rules that are in place for arbitrarily trying to figure out a way to --

MR. RAUL GARCIA: I'm Raul Garcia with Cameron County. The reason I -- over here because we have a similar problem that their county has. It's under current ORCA funding for first-time water, sewer with multiple dwelling.

Eventually we will only be able to serve two families where there were actually three units in the property, simply because of -- it's the county rules of criteria of the health department only allowing two septic tanks, which is required, you know, for us to connect water lines for the property.

I guess rules that come down from the health department from the state down to the local level.

MR. CABELLO: That's a water and wastewater issue, then.

MR. GARCIA: But there's -- I mean, there's multiple jurisdictions we're talking about, whether it be the feds or the state or -- it doesn't sound like it's -- there's an easy fix. And if multiple dwellings exist, they have to make a decision of whether they're going to

do it on their own or if they're going to accept help -- assistance, which means that they would have to clear out into one dwelling. Right?

MR. CABELLO: And what the families -And, Paul, correct me. I mean, what you guys
tell me is that the families say, You know what? Forget
it, because we can't kick off four families, so we just
won't be connected to the water.

MR. GARCIA: Well, it will become a dilemma. And eventually, like Paul said, we will actually be illegally connecting to, hopefully, two meters. And eventually, that other two family, which is family, you know — eventually will connect illegally.

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. And you have the same thing with electrical. I mean, you've seen mobile homes burn down because of the electric -- you know, the wires not being able to, you know, hold the currents on them, and because you do have three houses connected to one meter.

MR. GARCIA: And I'm assuming that's what the government's trying to do is not so much make it hard on families, but get them to comply for their own safety or for their own health or for whatever -- that the rules are in place. I mean, they might have been arbitrary, maybe.

But what I'm trying to figure out is where's

| 1 | the remedy here. If you can't divide these families or | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | you're not going to be able to get them to want to comply | | |
| 3 | with the law, what are we left with? I mean, how do we | | |
| 4 | fix that? | | |
| 5 | MR. CABELLO: What are some | | |
| 6 | MR. GARCIA: What's your recommendation? | | |
| 7 | MR. MARTINEZ: My well, mine would be you | | |
| 8 | know, some of the reasons that they can't comply is | | |
| 9 | because they can't subdivide, because there's no water and | | |
| 10 | sewer. Some of these lots are big enough where you could | | |
| 11 | probably divide the lot and get something where you can | | |
| 12 | have two lots, you know, and have two houses. | | |
| 13 | MR. CABELLO: They're not going to prevent them | | |
| 14 | from subdividing, because there's no zoning out there. | | |
| 15 | Correct? | | |
| 16 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. But you have the water and | | |
| 17 | sewer in place before they can | | |
| 18 | MR. GARCIA: You're supposed to have it in | | |
| 19 | place before you move in. | | |
| 20 | MR. MARTINEZ; Yes. So they'll let you go back | | |
| 21 | and replot. | | |
| 22 | MR. CABELLO: The local authorities | | |
| 23 | MR. MARTINEZ; Yes. You can't replot, not yet. | | |
| 24 | MR. GARCIA: Replot? Is that | | |
| 25 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. You can't replot. When | | |

it's replotted, then you'll have your title to your piece 1 2 of property, you know --MR. CABELLO: But you can't just subdivide that 3 4 one --MR. MARTINEZ; Not legally. 5 MR. CABELLO: -- lot without having to redo the 6 7 whole plat. MR. MARTINEZ: Right. 8 MS. CABALLERO: Yes, because then you're going 9 to be in violation of the model subdivision --10 MR. CABELLO: Model subdivisions. Right. 11 MS. CABALLERO: Subchapter B of the local 12 government code allows family partition, but the model-13 subdivision rules do not. 14 MR. CABELLO: Right. So --15 MS. CABALLERO: Yes. And that's one of the 16 biggest problems that we're seeing. And I know it's in 17 18 Cameron. And I'm glad to see that --MR. CABELLO: So do we need a tweak? I mean, 19 do we need to submit a recommendation about the model-20 subdivision rules to be able to address some of these 21 multiple units? I mean, is that --22 MS. GARCIA: That's one of the items that we're 23 going to recommend to the tricounty network meeting that 24 is composed of Starr County, Hidalgo and Cameron. But the 25

plan department and the local officials are bringing it up, that particular concern.

What is the current law, and what is the recommendation? So that we will submit to --

MR. GARCIA: And you have a recommendation then for that --

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

it?

MR. GARCIA: -- how to address this, how to fix

MS. GARCIA: And the wheel doesn't have to be reinvented. They can probably put waivers in place. If you meet this criteria -- and then you should be example -- planning and recording. But you have to make sure that it doesn't create another development or that you're not creating it so you can sell it in the future. And we developed recommendation where we put it in place.

MR. LONGORIA: What the county's afraid of -the county where they set up the network meeting -- is
they're afraid of allowing the family partition, but then
down the road somebody within that family partition
saying, I want to resubdivide my piece, thus creating
another colonia situation.

So what they suggested was that the model-subdivision rule be tweaked to allow family partitions to only a certain number of lots or only to a -- to have a

cap on the number of lots that they can be subdivided. 1 That way you don't have somebody subdividing and then 2 resubdividing because they fell out of favor. 3 MR. CABELLO: And that recommendation's 4 submitted to --5 MS. GARCIA: It will be submitted to our office 6 7 at the end of the summer. MR. CABELLO: So submit it to Buddy, though, 8 9 and you can --MR. GARCIA: Well, within this context, though, 10 we will be able to utilize it within the CRAC --11 MS. GARCIA: Yes. We don't want to dispose it 12 vet, because we still want to go back to the committee and 13 make sure it's what we understood. It's --14 MR. CABELLO: Well, it's a good idea. It's 15 something to think about. 16 MS. GARCIA: -- a consensus. But it's coming 17 from the three counties itself. And it's not coming out 18 of the new bill, but rather -- use something in place. 19 That is a waiver that if you meet this criteria, you 20 should be acceptable, as long as you still meet, you know, 21 22 local government coding, et cetera. MR. GARCIA: And you guys think that's a good 23 idea. 24 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. And maybe perhaps by the 25

size of a lot --

MS. GARCIA: Exactly. Size of lot.

MR. MARTINEZ: -- you know, and that they take one or two --

MS. CABALLERO: But one thing that we do have to remember is that there's TCEQ regulations, which was what Buddy was saying earlier. You have state regs, and you have federal regs. And so, you know, that is a recommendation. But are we going to have then, you know, look at the federal regs or the TCEQ regs --

MR. GARCIA: Well, what we -- like on the situation that we have, illegal subdivision within a certification-of-determination area that was verified by the city, it's a family that is subdivided within this area and this -- on a half acre. What we get from TCEQ is that you can only have two units and two septic tanks. So that's going to exclude another family.

So if we can find a way for the third family -- those units were there from the very beginning.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ CABALLERO: That has to do with the engineer that did the --

MR. GARCIA: That's another matter.

MR. GARCIA: You'd have to put the septic tanks and -- well, look, anything's better than what we have here. And as long as we come back with -- and maybe in

tinker with it to make everybody happy, the agency, the 2 feds, whoever. 3 But, I mean, we need compliance. I mean, we 4 need to figure out a way to make it work. We can't just 5 have these rules that nobody addresses, that nobody 6 7 follows. MR. LONGORTA: What we've asked the counties to 8 9 do at the last network meeting was to keep track of the number of family-partition situations like this that are 10 coming in their door so that that can be documentation for 11 the legislators to say, Let's go forward and tweak the 12 document -- these rules. So that would be --13 MS. CABALLERO: And I think it was a serious 14 15 concern in Hidalgo County. MR. LONGORIA: It is. Yes, because they're 16 getting a steady flow. 17 MS. CABALLERO: Because you were there --18 [speaking Spanish]. 19 MR. CABELLO: [speaking Spanish]. 20 MS. GOMEZ: 21 [Discussion in Spanish between Ms. Gomez and 22 Mr. Longoria]. MR. GARCIA: And who owns the private lane? 23 24 MR. LONGORIA: One of the residents of the 25 colonia. He bought it --

their wisdom, the legislature comes up with some way to

1

[Mr. Longoria and Ms. Garcia talking over each 1 2 other]. MR. ALMAZAN: [speaking Spanish]. 3 MR. LONGORIA: And it's not just a pool. It's 4 impossible -- you know, it's a dangerous place. 5 MR. ALMAZAN: [speaking Spanish]. 6 MR. GARCIA: Just follow up --7 MR. LONGORIA: I'll continue -- it's been --8 [speaking Spanish]. 9 MR. CABELLO: Pete, you've been real quiet, 10 11 sir. MR. DE LA CRUZ: [speaking Spanish]. 12 MR. GOMEZ: Roy Gomez from USDA rural 13 14 development. MR. CABELLO: Yes, sir. 15 MR. GOMEZ: Just in listening to everything we 16 17 had here today, certainly the USDA supports TDHCA and Secretary of State. We work real close with Yesenia and 18 Eunice and are here to support -- we have several programs 19 like the Boot Strap Program where we can leverage funds. 20 And everybody increases the home ownership 21 opportunities, and everybody wins. And I think that's the 22 key, where you need several players involved. No one 23 agency is going to come up with all the solutions. And 24

yes, there are some that are more important than others.

25

But regardless of which ones you tackle, it's working together.

The tricounty meetings have been helpful in bringing the agencies together and seeing what's available out there. Maybe somebody has technical-assistance money. Many somebody has land-acquisition money and so forth. But as far as USDA rural development is concerned, we're here to support the state in any programs that we can to continue to improve the qualify of life for our colonial residents.

MR. GARCIA: And that may be a recommendation also in itself, that for too long jurisdictional boundaries have prevented a combination of services.

Texas A&M has colonia programs. Several churches, probably nonprofits have colonia programs.

The state, the fed -- and we don't work together, because we have our orders from the legislature. And the federal government tells people like yourselves -- and gives you rules. Perhaps that recommendation should be made, that there needs to be a concerted effort between all jurisdictions.

That is a technical problem, I guess, when it comes to regional issues, but definitely when it comes down to how we're going to solve the issues of finance or how we're going to solve the issues of community. There

needs -- we need to work together.

MR. GOMEZ: I think these ladies have done a real good job in identifying at least the local partners and seeing who can bring what to the table. And maybe it needs to be done -- I don't know if it's been done up and down the border, but locally I know it helps. It doesn't hurt; that's for sure.

And we may be doing, you know, better work and things like that -- to where we join efforts. We're able to do more with less. Like the Boot Strap Program -- that, to me -- self-help, you know. We're real -- we work with Proyecto. We give technical-assistance money.

But we also work with housing authorities and things like that. To me, the more money that we can get like that, the better. Leverage funds, equity.

Everybody's involved. It's a good program.

MR. CABELLO: The Boot Strap Program, Buddy, is a statewide program.

MR. GARCIA: And it sells itself. I think that's why. If anything, one thing that we're not talking about here today is there are some areas where the colonia populations aren't as high along the border, and they kind of get left out, because the legislation — at least the state legislation — was drafted for the most populated areas of colonias.

And so there's some legitimate claims that if you're in a colonia and you're in an area like Presidio County or somewhere that you don't really have as much access -- but one thing at a time.

1.3

My fear -- and I don't mind who I tell it to -I said -- is that whatever program -- NAFTA, colonias,
what have you -- that are intended to help the border
become cash cows for other parts of the state or even
other parts of Mexico or the United States.

And they take those -- because of the success -- we've -- what moderate success we've had -- they take what little resources we have away. And so I always lecture to whoever listens, legislators, otherwise, get your arms around what we have -- and make the argument, as mentioned earlier, of why that needs to stay right where it is -- and don't let people take it away from -- what little we have.

And then secondly, to sell the argument that how we can maximize the money we have, leverage our assets -- because that's going to be the best way to sell any new programs.

I hope you know the ultimate result of all this is not only going to be for our benefit, the colonias benefit, but to raise the awareness of the rest of the state of what it is that's going on and why this is not

enough.

I mean, obviously what I've heard here today is there's more problems than anyone realizes out there -- have never been addressed. And people do believe that, for the most part at the legislature -- that, well, you know, enough of that. We've done the colonia stuff. Now what about the rest of the state?

You know, they barely even scratch the surface on some of these. So I don't know what you do about garbage collection. I don't know what you do about the living conditions, that if they don't improve -- how do you get people health care?

If there's no public transportation, what are you going to do? You can't get there. It doesn't make any sense that you have these services in a clinic that's all centrally located inside of the city if you can't get there.

So your points are well taken, and we're going to put it all in the report. We're going to go to El Paso as well. And hopefully now we're merging our various hats that we wear. We can argue very successfully a little bit stronger.

And thanks to the senator for everything he's done, because he helped in a way to godfather some of these things at the beginning. But we obviously have a

long way to go. So if you don't mind reporting back to 1 him and to the committee what we've done and where we --2 that we will follow up, because we're going to actually 3 need your help on this too. 4 MR. CABELLO: The other component, Buddy, 5 that -- when we go to El Paso, I would like to hear more 6 about the effect on this of the promotoras as to how they 7 work, because they're out there in the colonias and --8 9 [speaking Spanish]. [speaking Spanish]. MR. ALMAZAN: 10 [Ms. Villalpando and Mr. Almazan speaking 11 12 Spanish]. [speaking Spanish]. MR. CABELLO: 13 You want to make any closing remark? We --14 MR. GARCIA: That was it. That was all I had 15 to say was that I'm very appreciative. I've learned a 16 [speaking Spanish]. 17 And please, if you haven't already identified 18 myself, the -- ourselves, the ombudsman for the Secretary 19 of State's office. If you all -- we'll follow up, but 20 these are our representatives down here. 21

(Whereupon, at 2:45 p.m., the meeting was

22

23

adjourned.)

And there's a push to make the self-help money available statewide, because people that live elsewhere have seen the success of the program that passed and said, We have poor people; we have colonias; I want something like that.

The problem -- you're right -- is that there's no more money, and so the slice that you get is less. The legislature's very aware of that. In fact, the legislators who passed this, I think, are most aware. Yet now I think Nueces County's now added as a county that's eligible.

And I told Senator Hinojosa -- I said, Look, if you don't add money or legislation that changes the way that's funded, it just comes at the expense of the border. In fact, I think the legislature across the state is saying, Well, why do the colonias get this help, and we have poor people too? We want that.

And then that means there isn't enough money for anybody. What little resource -- but be aware, because that's a situation that is around the corner. And I think it's important that our legislators -- the board legislators are aware of this situation, which we will recommend to them.

But your point is right. I mean, that very little bit of money's coming at the expense of you guys,

COLONIA RESIDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

TUESDAY MAY 23, 2006 11:00 AM County of Hidalgo Urban County Program Building

Sign In Roster

| _ | Name , | Address | Organization STARR Co. |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Dewait Mars. | By77 Courseller | chac Member |
| | Meta Cabolino | 1901 W. Jellowan Hen | TXSDS-Wan |
| | EUNICE Garna | 2501 N. Closner Blod | Tx sos- Hidalpo |
| | Roel Comer | BIUSIED EdinburgITX | USPA-RP |
| | Anna M. Villameal | | Self Help Covidington |
| | Gonzalo Montaluo | 6163 FM 1430 R.GC | Self Help Conter |
| | Eliay. Bear | 401 N. BritanAve, Ste 407, | Ric Stave Co. |
| | Jaime Longoria | 2501 N. Closner, Elinburg | TX sos - Hilalgo |
| | Maria J Brimalde | 70Zanayarp Phar | - Community Parson |
| | Elia Aranjo | 7814 Alondra Phan | |
| | yo landa moveno | 125 E. Denny PhanT | I ARISE |
| , | Hartencia Medina | 125 E. Denny Phan 7 | X ARISE |
| | Guillermana RAZ | 3/3 San Angelo Ala | Azteca |
| | Jorge Solano | 17702 Queen Palm | Comita Pueblo de Polmas |
| 1 | Murzo Zuniga | -1400 N. Rod., 2254 80 | n Youredo Asker |
| • | Lety Saucher | 1500 cathy lone | comité arteca |
| | golio Sancher | 1500 cathylane | conil- agosila arteca |
| | Perla Sourcela | 1520 Cathy | Comite aguila Artece |
| | Envigue Saixeda | 1520 Cath-1 | Come le Aguila Arteca. |
| | Raul Gareria | 461 Harrison 54 | Canara County |

COLONIA RESIDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

TUESDAY MAY 23, 2006 11:00 AM County of Hidalgo Urban County Program Building

Sign In Roster

| Name | Address | Organization | |
|----------------|---|------------------------|-----|
| Paolina Vega | 855 W Price Rd Brownsville, TX 78521 | Cameron Crunty Engr. | |
| Loren Gold | Prosero artes | | |
| RICHARD KEITH | CDC OF REWISTRAG | 901 E LEVEE, BROUNSVII | cce |
| Oan 16 way | Proyer of Azteca | | |
| Vana allred | webs Co. Self Help | | |
| HEETOR S.LAM | 11 *1 | | |
| CHRLOTH SOLAND | // // | - | |
| PAM MARTINEZ | (()) | 1 | |
| Liliana Perez | 6953 QRd. | M-H.M. 266M | |
| | 500 S. Kansas, Wystaco | Sen Lucie's Office | |
| Elsa Valdez | 3115 S. Casalindast. Miglien tx 78 303 | 4. H. P. | |
| Lourdes Prada | 15139 Campocous | L.U.P.E | |
| maria Doma | 544 San Fanna | Lupe. | |
| BAWGA QUAREZ | 10/ S. WASHINGTONS | 505 | |
| Flda Sifventes | 316 S.Closner Edirbat | TRIA | |
| Youet Olesini | 316. S. Closner Edinbu | | |
| Par Balvicos | 80BX 408-Pharr | TRI | |
| Upserio Avala | 2723 W. University Dr. Edinburg, TX 78539 | TDHCA | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

COLONIA RESIDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

TUESDAY MAY 23, 2006 11:00 AM County of Hidalgo Urban County Program Building

Sign In Roster

| | Name | Address | Organization |
|----------|--------------|--|--|
| ./ | , | 1500 a traveller | comite Aguila 427ECA Alomot X. |
| Enri | que sacreda | 1520 KATHY ST. | comite goula arteca |
| letin | ia Sanchez | 1500 cathy lane | south side village Alamotx. comite agaila arteca South side village Alamotx |
| 707 | io Sancher | 1500 cathy lane | south side village Alamotx |
| 1 ~ 1 | me Solano | 17702 Queen Pala | Comte Pueblo de l'Almus |
| | Jud ARIZNOZI | 11075 27,7K | program tistera |
| 17 | | | ARISE Community |
| 09 | vid GAVZ9 | 707 9Maxa F.D. P-0.BOX 57 SAN JUMPTY 78589 | Projecto Acta |
| ./ | Comia Medina | 7625 Steve LN | Comunida |
| 2= | Pac Xins | 1 93D/ALDSKAR | CRAS CAMERON |
| 100 | West Jones. | By 77 (aciandle | Steph Co. Dei. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | PROYECTO AZTECA |
| | | | |
| ± | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| | | | |